UniversiTà degli STudi di Napoli Federico II







EWADE European Workshop on Aircraft Design Education

THE IMPORTANCE OF NON-LINEARITIES IN AIRCRAFT PRELIMINARY DESIGN

Fabrizio Nicolosi – University of Naples Federico II <u>fabrizio.nicolosi@unina.it</u>





Layout of presentation

- Some remarks on longitudinal stability and control:
 - correct approach
 - non-linearities
- Influence on Horizontal Tail preliminary design





- Non-linearities in directional stability and control and vertical tail design
- Conclusions





Horizontal tail design – scissors plot

- Stability condition
- Control condition







Longitudinal stability and control







Longitudinal stability and control







Longitudinal stability and control – correct approach





 $\frac{dC_m}{dC_t} = x_a - \frac{a_t}{a} \frac{-t'}{v_t} \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha}\right) \eta_t$





Longitudinal stability and control – correct approach



- The equations include the contribution of the horizontal tail on lift
- The lift and moment equation are linked
- \Rightarrow The neutral point does not depend anymore on CG
- \Rightarrow The Cm @ CL=0 does not depend on the CG (it is a pure moment)

$$C_{m} = C_{m} \sum_{ac} + \left[x_{a} - \frac{a_{t}}{a} \overline{v_{t}}' \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha} \right) \right] C_{L} - a_{t} \overline{v_{t}}' \alpha_{t0} \qquad \overline{v_{t}}' = \frac{S_{t} \cdot 1}{S_{w} \cdot c}$$

$$\alpha_{t0} = \frac{1}{\left[1 + \frac{a_{t}S_{t}}{aS_{w}} \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha} \right) \right]}^{(i_{t} - \alpha_{0w}):} \qquad N_{0} = x_{ac} + \frac{a_{t}}{a} \overline{v_{t}}' \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha} \right) \eta_{t} \qquad S_{H}$$

$$\frac{dC_{m}}{dt} = x_{a} - \frac{a_{t}}{a} \overline{v_{t}}' \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha} \right) \eta_{t} \qquad S_{H}$$







Effect of new correct approach on scissors plot

The influence of tail area ratio on neutral point and maximum allowable FWD CG position for equilibrium in landing **is not more linear**.

Allowable CG range It is becoming MORE CRITICAL !

(even -10% xcg travel with Sh/S=0.25







Non-linear effects



^{12&}lt;sup>th</sup> EWADE, Delft, September 10-2015









- a_t reduces at high angles of attack of for the H tail, high C_{Lt} and C_{Lw}



Reduced at => Lower stability margin, but also lower control power Cm

STABILITY





UniversiTà degli STUDI di Napoli Federico II





Stability and control; effect of downwash non-linearities

$$\frac{dC_m}{dC_L} = x_a - \frac{a_t}{a} \overline{v_t}' \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha} \right) \eta_t$$
$$\delta_{ee} = \frac{\alpha_{0w} - i_{t0}}{\tau} - \frac{C_{m0w}}{C_{m\delta}} - \frac{C_{m_{CL}}}{C_{m\delta}} C_{Le}$$

Downwash influences SSM

Downwash influences control











Effect of downwash non-linearities (high wing vs low-wing)







Effect of downwash non-linearities









Effect of downwash non-linearities (high wing vs low-wing)



12th EWADE, Delft, September 10-2015







Pendular Stability

$$\frac{dC_m}{dC_L} = x_a + C_{Lw} \left(\frac{2}{\pi A R_e} - \frac{2}{a_w} \right) \cdot \frac{z_a}{c} - \frac{a_t}{a} \frac{-\omega_t}{v_t} \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha} \right) \eta_t$$

Wing contribution to the longitudinal stability

$$\frac{dC_m}{dC_L}\Big|_w = x_a + C_{Lw} \left(\frac{2}{\pi AR_e} - \frac{2}{a_w}\right) \cdot \frac{z_a}{c} \approx x_a - 0.30 \cdot C_{Lw} \cdot \frac{z_a}{c}$$

CG at al 10% of MAC below the wing at CL=1 leads to 3% higher SSM CG at al 30% of MAC below the wing at CL=1 leads to 9% higher SSM

UniversiTà degli STudi di Napoli Federico II



Pendular Stability





High wing aircraft usually has a 25-30% ZCG below the wing

As it has been highlighted this can lead to about +10% of SSM at CL=1 (climb)

In full flap condition (approach in landing) , with CL=2 => + 20%



UniversiTà degli STudi di Napoli Federico II





Pendular Stability







Pendular Stability; high wing vs low-wing, Design

- For high-wing, the worst case for stability is CL=0, in this case in preliminary design the effect on stability can be neglected. Maybe a value of the effect in high-speed cruise cond (CL=0.30) can be considered. => shift of about 3%
- For low-wing config., the worst case to be considered for design is stability at high CL. => shift left of 5 to 10 %





DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE SEZIONE INGEGNERIA AEROSPAZIA

Longitudinal Control – Pendular effect



For a Regional Turboprop, LDG conditions:

- CD0w = 0.0070
- CLapproach = 2
- piARe = 27
- $a_w = 6/rad$
- α_{ow} = -12 deg.
- i_w = 2 deg.
- $z_a/c = 0.25$

$$C_C \approx -0.90 \implies \Delta C_m \approx -0.23$$

It is like comparable to increment due to flap



Longitudinal Control – Pendular effect



For control in LDG, and for the high-wing configuration, pendular effect **must be considered**.





Longitudinal Control – Pendular effect Influence on Design (Scissors plot)







Longitudinal Non-linear effects

$$\frac{dC_m}{dC_L} = x_a + C_{Lw} \left(\frac{2}{\pi A R_e} - \frac{2}{a_w} \right) \cdot \frac{z_a}{c} - \frac{a_t}{a_w} \overline{v_t} \left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha} \right) \eta_t$$





=> Similar effect on control





Directional stability and control

- Vertical tail contribution
- Fuselage contribution (only stability)



Commuter Aircraft





Directional stability and control

- At high angle of sideslip both vertical and fuselage contribution to the directional stability can be nonlinear
- Vertical tail can reach stall condition
- Fuselage instability is reduced
- Dorsal fin effect
- Rudder effectiveness is strongly dependent on rudder deflections





Directional Stability

•
$$C_N = C_{N_\beta}\beta + C_{N_{\delta r}}\delta r = 0$$







Directional Stability

- In the linear range (0-10/15 deg.) mutual effects among aircraft components (fuselage, wing, horizontal tail)
- At high angle of sideslip Vertical tail stability contr reduces









Directional control (Rudder)

Critical conditions where non-linearity effects should be considered:

- VMC condition \rightarrow rudder effectiveness non-linearity
- High sideslip angle in landing condition \rightarrow Vertical, fuselage and dorsal fin non linearity









Directional Stability – Vertical tail+dorsal fin











Directional Stability – Fuselage non linearities



P2012

$$C_{N_f} = K_f \cdot C_{N_\beta}$$
$$K_f = \left(\frac{c_{N_f}}{c_{N_\beta}}\right)_{\text{Poly}} = 1.11 \cdot \beta - 0.0191 \cdot \beta^2$$





Directional Stability – Fuselage







Directional Control

P2012 Rudder control (average cr/cv=0.45)







Vertical Tail design

- Vmc condition (non-linearities in rudder efficiency)
- Equilibrium with cross-wind landing high beta => non-lin effects on stability, dorsal fin effects high rudder deflections => non-lin in rudder efficiency





Vertical Tail design ; Vmc



12th EWADE, Delft, September 10-2015





Vertical Tail design



Sv=12 sq m

0.070 0.060 0.050 Z 0.040 0.030 0.020 0.010 0.000 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 beta o dr *Beta* or *dr*

Sv=17 sq m

12th EWADE, Delft, September 10-2015

- CN(beta)

0.080

- CN(beta) lin - CN(dr)

UniversiTà degli STUDI di Napoli Federico II





Vertical Tail design



Sv=12 sq m

Sv=17 sq m





Conclusions

- Non linearities appears in longitudinal and directional stability and control (when indip. variable is alpha, beta or control surface deflection)
- Influence of wing-position (pendular stability)
- Carefully establish when it is worth to consider these effects
- Design of Horizontal tailplane must include these effects
- Design of Vertical tail (usually made for Vmc req) can be critical : \Rightarrow Vertical tail stall
- \Rightarrow Dorsal fin
- \Rightarrow Non-lin behavior of fuselage
- \Rightarrow Non linear rudder efficiency

Maximum achievable sideslip angle in LDG have to be checked and must include non-linear effects !