



AIRCRAFT DESIGN AND SYSTEMS GROUP (AERO)

Turbofan Specific Fuel Consumption, Size, and Mass from Correlated Engine Parameters

Mohamed Oussama Hammami

- 1. Examiner: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Dieter Scholz, MSME
- 2. Examiner: Dr.-Ing. Daniel Nerger

Presentation of the Master Thesis Hamburg, 08.10.2021



Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Calculations and Analysis
- III. Establishment of New SFC Models
- **IV.** Singular Value Decomposition
- V. Conclusion



I. Introduction



• Information Gathering

Goal:

- Studying the Linearity of SFC, Mass and Engine Size
- Correlation between Engine Parameters
- Creation of Simplified and More Extended SFC Models with High Accuracy



1. Calculation and Extraction of C_a and C_b for the Available Engines

C_t : SFC at cruise phase [kg/(Ns)] C_a: SFC Factor [kg/(Nm)] C_b: SFC Factor [kg/(Ns)] V : Speed [m/s]



1. Calculation and Extraction of C_a and C_b for the Available Engines

C_b : On ground at *V* = 0:

$$c_0 = \underbrace{c_a \cdot v}_{=0} + C_b$$
 $c_0 = C_b$ C_0 : SFC on ground

$$V = a M = a_0 \sqrt{\frac{T_h}{T_0}} M$$

 $C_a = \frac{C_t - C_0}{a_0 \sqrt{\frac{T_h}{T_0}} M}$

- M : Mach Number
- T_h: Cruise Temperature [K]
- T₀: Temperature at sea lvl [K]
- a₀: Speed of sound [m/s]



2. Analysis of the Variation of Engine Design Parameters with Thrust, BPR, and Engine Geometric Parameters

Take-off and Cruise SFC Variation with BPR





Bypass ratio effect on take-off specific fuel consumption

Bypass ratio effect on cruise specific fuel consumption



- 2. Analysis of the Variation of Engine Design Parameters with Thrust, BPR, and Engine Geometric Parameters
 - $\mathbf{C}_{a}~~\text{and}~~\mathbf{C}_{b}~\text{Variation}~\text{with}~\text{BPR}$



Variation of C_a with Bypass ratio

Variation of C_b with Bypass ratio



2. Analysis of the Variation of Engine Design Parameters with Thrust, BPR, and Engine Geometric Parameters

Engine Mass Variation with Take-off and Cruise Thrust



Engine Mass as function of Take-off Thrust

Engine Mass as function of Cruise Thrust



2. Analysis of the Variation of Engine Design Parameters with Thrust, BPR, and Engine Geometric Parameters

Engine Mass Variation with Engine Geometric Parameters



Variation of Engine Mass with Fan Diameter

Variation of Engine Mass with Engine Volume



2. Analysis of the Variation of Engine Design Parameters with Thrust, BPR, and Engine Geometric Parameters

Thrust Variation with Engine Geometric Parameters



Variation of Take-off Thrust with Engine Volume



Parameters	Units
Thrust	Ν
SFC	kg/Ns
C_{a}	kg/Nm
C_b	kg/Ns
Engine Mass	kg
Cruise Altitude	m
Engine Length	m
Engine Diameter	m
Engine Volume	m ³
Temperature	K
Speed	m/s



1. SFC Models from Linear Regression

First model:

 $SFC_{Cruise} = 1,5 \cdot 10^{-5} + 1,6 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot F_{Cruise} - 4,2 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot h + 2,97 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot M - 6,86 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot \lambda - 1,3 \cdot 10^{-9} \cdot m$

Parameters	Coefficients	P-Values	R ²
Intercept	$1,5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1,5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	67,2%
Thrust [N]	$1,6 \cdot 10^{-11}$	0,06	
Cruise Altitude [m]	$-4,2 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$3,5 \cdot 10^{-28}$	
Mach Number	$2,97 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1,06 \cdot 10^{-15}$	
BPR	$-6,86 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$5,08 \cdot 10^{-19}$	
Mass [kg]	$-1,3 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1,1 \cdot 10^{-17}$	



1. SFC Models from Linear Regression

Second model:

 $SFC_{cruise} = 1,48 \cdot 10^{-5} - 4,13 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot h + 2,96 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot M - 6,82 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot \lambda - 1,11 \cdot 10^{-9} \cdot m$

Parameters	Coefficients	P-Values	R ²	Error
Intercept Cruise Altitude [m] Mach Number BPR Mass [kg]	$\begin{array}{r} 1,48 \cdot 10^{-5} \\ -4,13 \cdot 10^{-10} \\ 2,96 \cdot 10^{-5} \\ -6,82 \cdot 10^{-7} \\ -1,11 \cdot 10^{-9} \end{array}$	$2,44 \cdot 10^{-9} \\ 1,65 \cdot 10^{-27} \\ 1,62 \cdot 10^{-15} \\ 9,28 \cdot 10^{-19} \\ 1,9 \cdot 10^{-22} \\ \end{cases}$	67%	7,86%



1. SFC Models from Linear Regression

Third model:

 $SFC_{cruise} = 2,207 \cdot 10^{-5} + 1,59 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot F_{cruise} - 4,94 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot h + 1,02 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot M - 7,97 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot \lambda - 5,7 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot m - 8,83 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \varepsilon_{c}$

Parameters	Coefficients	P-Values	R ²	Error
Intercept Thrust [N] Cruise Altitude [m] Mach Number BPR Mass [kg] OPR	$\begin{array}{r} 2,207\cdot 10^{-5} \\ 1,59\cdot 10^{-11} \\ -4,94\cdot 10^{-10} \\ 1,02\cdot 10^{-5} \\ -7,97\cdot 10^{-7} \\ -5,7\cdot 10^{-10} \\ -8,83\cdot 10^{-8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,34\cdot 10^{-16}\\ 0,0002\\ 0,0003\\ 0,015\\ 2,68\cdot 10^{-21}\\ 0,00013\\ 0,027\end{array}$	70%	5,28%



2. SFC Models from Minimum Mean Square Error

$$y = a \cdot x^b$$

Models for C _a	R ²	Err [%]	Models for C _b	R ²	Err [%]
$C_a = 3,96 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot C_0^{5,3 \cdot 10^{-8}}$	0,54	8,84	$C_b = 7,46 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot T_0^{-0,2}$	0,65	11,37
$C_a = 9,594 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \lambda^{-0,6}$	0,3	9,88	$C_b = 5,47 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot T_c^{-0,2}$	0,51	12,18
$C_a = 9.5 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \lambda^{0.9} \cdot C_0^{-1.6}$	0,07	12,04	$C_b = 1,65 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \lambda^{-0,4}$	0,64	5,81
$C_a = 9,5 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot T_c^{0,2} \cdot C_0^{1,7}$	0,04	13,42	$C_b = 9,94 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0,58	15,63
$C_a = 9,3 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \lambda^{0,9} \cdot T_c^{0,26} \cdot C_0^{1,8}$	0,12	57,2	$\cdot \lambda^{8,37\cdot 10^{-6}} \cdot T_{C}^{1,25\cdot 10^{-5}} \cdot T_{0}^{2,15\cdot 10^{-5}}$		
$C_{a} = 5 \cdot 10^{8} \cdot T_{0}^{-0.6} \cdot \lambda^{0.77} \cdot T_{c}^{-0.4} \cdot C_{0}^{2.5}$	0,43	78,7	$C_{b} = 1,06 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \lambda^{-0,12} \cdot T_{c}^{-0,7} \cdot T_{0}^{-0,8} \cdot C_{0}^{0,1}$	0,6	9,23



2. SFC Models from Minimum Mean Square Error

$$C_t = 3,96 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot C_0^{5,3 \cdot 10^{-3}} \cdot V + 1,65 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \lambda^{-0,4}$$

Since

$$C_b = C_0 = 1,65 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \lambda^{-0,4}$$

So

$$C_t = 3,735 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \lambda^{-2,12 \cdot 10^{-3}} \cdot V + 1,65 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \lambda^{-0,4}$$





IV. Singular Value Decomposition

- Useful for Modeling Components and Subsystems.
- Gives Possibility to Create Models with Few Parameters as Input and the Design Attributes as Output.



Singular Value Decomposition

1. Singular Value Decomposition with Excel

6 Input par	ramete	rs	CF6-50A													
	Rel error	CF6-50A	Estimate	Adjusted	Result	Average								SVD variabl	w-diagonal	residual
Thrust (Cruise) [N]	0,01%	50042,00	50046,33	4,70	0,34	4,36	0,295	-0,060	0,057	-0,007	0,006	-0,001	0,000	0,93	5,42	0,48
OPR_Sea lvl	0,00%	28,60	28,60	1,46	0,07	1,38	0,107	0,040	-0,034	-0,041	0,005	-0,001	0,000	-0,39	2,23	0,20
Cruise Altitude [m]	0,00%	10668,00	10668,00	4,03	0,00	4,03	-0,009	0,023	0,008	-0,001	0,005	0,015	0,000	0,68	0,99	0,11
Mach Number	0,00%	0,80	0,80	-0,10	0,00	-0,10	0,002	0,003	0,001	0,001	-0,001	0,000	0,007	-0,28	0,55	0,03
BPR+1	0,00%	5,40	5,40	0,73	0,05	0,68	0,125	0,173	0,013	0,013	0,004	-0,002	0,000	0,21	0,28	0,02
Dry weight [Kg]	0,00%	3719,00	3719,00	3,57	0,28	3,29	0,330	-0,028	-0,048	0,016	-0,006	0,002	0,000	0,78	0,18	0,01
SFC (Cruise) [kg/s.N]	7,34%	1,7985E-05	1,6664E-05	-4,78	-0,04	-4,74	-0,035	-0,037	-0,026	0,014	0,022	-0,002	0,000	-0,13	0,08	0,00
	7,35%															

Err = 7,35%	SVD Err	Linear Regression Err				
	7,35%	5,25%				



Singular Value Decomposition

1. Singular Value Decomposition with Excel

9 Input parameters

	Relerror	CF6-50A	Estimate	Adjusted	Result	Average											SVD variabl	w-diagonal	residual
Takeoff Thrust [N]	0,00%	215000,00	214989,80	5,33	0,34	4,99	0,364	-0,018	0,029	-0,005	0,008	-0,007	0,016	-0,001	0,002	0,000	0,96	7,50	0,49
Thrust (Cruise) [N]	0,00%	50042,00	50040,57	4,70	0,34	4,36	0,293	-0,059	-0,068	-0,019	0,002	-0,006	-0,004	-0,004	0,000	0,000	-0,49	2,31	0,17
OPR_Sea IvI	0,00%	28,60	28,60	1,46	0,07	1,39	0,106	0,038	0,030	-0,029	0,028	0,004	-0,010	-0,003	-0,003	0,000	-0,59	1,06	0,14
Mach Number	0,00%	0,80	0,80	-0,10	0,00	-0,10	0,002	0,003	-0,001	0,001	-0,001	0,000	0,001	0,000	-0,001	-0,006	0,63	0,79	0,10
BPR+1	0,00%	5,40	5,40	0,73	0,05	0,68	0,119	0,167	-0,022	0,006	-0,005	0,009	0,003	-0,007	0,002	0,000	0,75	0,50	0,08
Dry weight [Kg]	0,00%	3719,00	3719,02	3,57	0,28	3,29	0,333	-0,021	0,031	-0,010	-0,024	0,013	-0,009	0,003	0,002	0,000	0,23	0,41	0,06
Fan Diameter [m]	0,00%	2,19	2,19	0,34	0,17	0,17	0,182	0,034	0,003	0,013	-0,009	-0,008	0,001	0,002	-0,011	0,001	0,37	0,27	0,05
Length [m]	0,01%	4,65	4,65	0,67	0,20	0,47	0,127	-0,058	-0,009	0,042	0,015	0,022	0,000	-0,002	-0,001	0,000	-0,47	0,22	0,02
Width/Diameter [m]	0,00%	2,34	2,34	0,37	0,15	0,22	0,167	0,041	0,000	0,034	0,009	-0,018	-0,009	0,006	0,004	0,000	-1,65	0,14	0,02
SFC (Cruise) [kg/s.N]	4,60%	1,7985E-05	1,7158E-05	-4,77	-0,02	-4,74	-0,032	-0,035	0,028	0,017	-0,008	-0,010	-0,005	-0,016	0,000	0,000	0,54	0,07	0,01
	4,63%																		

$$Err = 4,63\%$$

Inputs	Error
5	11%
6	7,35%
9	4,63%



IV. Singular Value Decomposition

2. Singular Value Decomposition with MATLAB

 $b = A \cdot x$





Singular Value Decomposition

2. Singular Value Decomposition with MATLAB





Singular Value Decomposition

2. Singular Value Decomposition with MATLAB







V. Conclusion

Accomplishments:

Use of Simple Mathematical Methods to obtain high accurate models

 Linear Regression
 Minimum Mean Square Error
 Singular Value Decomposition

 Development of Simple and Precise Calculation Models capable of competing with complex equations.
 Exp. Torenbeek Model (Gas generator power function)



V. Conclusion

Perspectives:

- Classify and assess whether the SVD is sufficiently precise for specific applications
- Use of Updated data
- Inclusion of more flight conditions and phases during the calculation



Contact

info@ProfScholz.de

http://library.ProfScholz.de

Quote this document:

HAMMAMI, Mohamed Oussama, 2021. *Turbofan Specific Fuel Consumption, Size, and Mass from Correlated Engine Parameters.* Presentation of the Master Thesis. Aircraft Design and Systems Group (AERO), HAW Hamburg, 2021-10-08. Available from: <u>https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:gbv:18302-aero2021-09-15.018</u>.

© Copyright by Author, CC BY-NC-SA, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0

